PRESENTATION AWARDS
Awards at the University Preliminary level include:
First Place PhD/EdD Winner - $100
First Place Master’s Winner - $100
Overall Runner-up - $75
People’s Choice - $75

PRESENTATION RULES
• A single static PowerPoint slide is permitted (no slide transitions, animations or "movement" of any description, the slide is to be presented from the beginning of the oration).
• No additional electronic media (e.g., sound and video files) are permitted.
• No additional props (e.g., costumes, musical instruments, laboratory equipment, notes, laser pointers) are permitted.
• Presentations are limited to 3 minutes maximum and presenters exceeding 3 minutes are disqualified.
• Presentations are to be spoken word (e.g., no poems, raps or songs).
• Presentations are to commence from the stage.
• Presentations are considered to have commenced when a presenter starts their presentation through movement or speech.
• The decision of the adjudicating panel is final.

JUDGING CRITERIA
At every level of the competition each presenter will be assessed on two judging criteria:

Comprehension + Content
• Did the presentation provide an understanding of the background and significance to the research question being addressed while explaining terminology and avoiding jargon?
• Did the presentation clearly describe the impact and/or results of the research, including conclusions and outcomes?
• Did the presentation follow a clear and logical sequence?
• Was the thesis topic, research significance, results/impact and outcomes communicated in language appropriate to a nonspecialist audience?
• Did the presenter spend adequate time on each element of their presentation or did they elaborate for too long on one aspect, or was the presentation rushed?

Engagement + Communication
• Did the oration make the audience want to know more?
• Was the presenter careful not to trivialize or generalize their research?
• Did the presenter convey enthusiasm for their research?
• Did the presenter capture and maintain their audience’s attention?
• Did the presenter have sufficient stage presence, eye contact and vocal range; maintain a steady pace, and have a confident stance?
• Did the PowerPoint slide enhance the presentation - was it clear, legible, and concise?
PRELIMINARY ROUND TWO PRESENTERS:

1. MATTHEW A. TRIPPY
   College of Science and Engineering
   Enhancing Machine Learning Models with Validation Logic

2. LANEY RENEE FEESER
   College of Liberal Arts
   Infant Rib Fractures: CPR or Child Abuse?

3. LAURA HERRERA
   College of Health Professions
   Classification Accuracy of a Self-Report Proficiency Assessment

4. BRYAN E. HEISINGER
   College of Liberal Arts
   The Archaeology of Skiles Shelter (41VV165): A Long-Term Plant Baking Facility in the Lower Pecos Canyonlands of Texas

5. CHRISTINA KOEHNE
   College of Science and Engineering
   The Practice of Supporting Mathematical Reasoning Habits

6. ALEXANDER AARON PIÑA
   College of Education
   Acute Effects on Vinyasa Flow Yoga on Arterial Stiffness

7. LAYLA GUYOT
   College of Science and Engineering
   Transition between Education and Profession: Experiences of Statisticians

8. MEGAN KROU
   College of Education
   Student Motivation and Academic Dishonesty: A Meta-Analytic Investigation

9. TANJINA NASREEN AHMED
   College of Science and Engineering
   Modified Glasses with Rare Earth Materials

10. MORGAN SNYDER
    College of Liberal Arts
    Weight Stigma Experiences During Medical Visits are Negatively Related to the Provider-Patient Relationship and Adherence